



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,404	07/09/2003	Ernst Gorenflo	3663-37 4016	
7590 01/04/2005			EXAM	INER
Nicholas J. Tuccillo, Esq.			PAYER, HWEI SIU CHOU	
McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Surrey		10/617,404	GORENFLO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Hwei-Siu C. Payer	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In old for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, a received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠ Re	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	ovember 2004.	•			
2a)⊠ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊠ CI	aim(s) 1 and 3-24 is/are pending in the appli) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawaim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1,3-16,18 and 22-24 is/are rejected. aim(s) 17 and 19-21 is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application	Papers					
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a) oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)⊠ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	(<u>.</u>					
2) Notice o 3) Informat	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Detailed Action

The amendment filed on 11-16-2004 has been entered.

Drawings Objection

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the damping element (cited in claim 3), the flexible body (cited in claim 8), the rubber part (cited in claim 10), the contact surface (cited in claim 11), the two opposing spring element (cited in claim 12), the hollow damping (cited in claim 17), and the eccentric ring (cited in claim 19) must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims Objection

Claims 1 and 3-24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- (1) In claim 1, line 6, "vubration" should read --vibration--.
- (2) In claim 4, "(26)" and "(32)" should be deleted. These reference numerals have not been described in the specification.
 - (3) In claim 5, "(26)" should be deleted.
- (4) In claim 8, "(38)" should be deleted since this reference numeral has not been described in the specification.
 - (5) In claim 9, "(38)" and "(40)" should be deleted.
 - (6) In claim 10, "(42)" should be deleted.
 - (7) In claim 11, "(34)" should be deleted.
 - (8) In claim 13, "in that that" should read --in that--.
 - (9) In claim 16, "(32)" should be deleted.
 - (10) In claim 19, "(48)" and "(32)" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,404

Art Unit: 3724

Page 4

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-11, 13-16, 18, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Taomo (U.S. Patent No. 5,447,295).

While not explicitly stated, it is inherent the spring constant of Taomo's vibration-reducing spring element (10) can be adjusted by tightening or loosening the threaded adjusting element (40).

Claims Rejection - 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taomo (U.S. Patent No. 5,447,295).

Taomo shows the claimed handheld implement expect the spring element (10) is in the form of one single element rather than two opposing elements, and the damping element (20) is made of rubber rather than plastic.

The claimed two opposing spring elements is not patentably distinct over Taomo single spring element. As long as the spring element is capable of being adjusted to achieved an equilibrium of forces, the number of elements is merely a matter of preference. Further, it is known to have a vibration-reducing spring element in the form of two opposing spring elements as evidenced by Hirschkoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,141,143, see Fig.5).

Further, to select a well known material such as plastic for Taomo's damping element (20) would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,404

Art Unit: 3724

Page 6

Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Action Made Final

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hwei-Siu C. Payer whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/617,404

Art Unit: 3724

Page 7

4511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 am to

4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306

for official communications and 571-273-4511 for proposed amendments.

H Payer December 29, 2004 Hwel-Slu Payer Primary Examiner